
From: William Daniels [/O=REGENERON/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=WILLIAM.DANIELS]
Sent: Friday, January 04, 2013 6:02:32 PM
To: Robert Krukowski
Subject: RE: Chronic Disease Fund

That's great. Are they committed to our "patients" for the year?

Regards,
Bil

From: Robert Krukowski
Sent: Friday, January 04, 2013 1:01 PM
To: William Daniels
Subject: RE: Chronic Disease Fund

Steve just stopped by. We don't need to meet with Chris anymore. Bob T worked it out. They agreed to \$8mil for Q1! Please begin the check request process and follow up with Clorinda to tell her the good news and let me know how it goes.

Good Job!
Rob

From: Robert Krukowski
Sent: Friday, January 04, 2013 11:55 AM
To: William Daniels
Subject: FW: Chronic Disease Fund
Importance: High

Chris is the VP of financial planning so this is an important meeting to prepare for to make sure you have your facts lined up just like Bob. I would put together the factoids that you have gathered as well so they have something to look at in the meeting. As a follow up I know Bob T met with Murray last night and Murray agreed on increase the funding for Q1, not sure if it was \$5 or 10 or somewhere in-between. However, Bob T still needed to talk to Len today.
Rob

From: Stephen Dressel
Sent: Friday, January 04, 2013 11:49 AM
To: Robert Krukowski; William Daniels
Subject: FW: Chronic Disease Fund
Importance: High

Please see below. I will include you both in this meeting. Prior to the meeting, we should sit down and review all of the relevant information.

Regards,
Steve

From: Christopher Fenimore
Sent: Friday, January 04, 2013 11:46 AM
To: Stephen Dressel
Cc: Valerie Jaiswal; Robert Terifay
Subject: Chronic Disease Fund
Importance: High

What do you have in your plans for 2013 contributions to CDF? Is that number at risk? If so, should we increase?

Can you put some time on the calendar early next week to walk us through with Bob the economics of how much we contributed last year vs. DNA, how much got paid out to Lucentis vs. EYLEA patients last year, and based on this info what we think we'll need to do this year to keep the fund solvent? Do we have any sense of DNA's commitment this year, and what the risk could be for them to back-off in attempts to get us to subsidize them this year, etc.?

Thanks,

Chris